15: Probabilistic Reasoning Over Time

Modeling Uncertainty over Time

- Setting
 - \circ X_t a set of unobserved state variables at time t.
 - \circ E_t a set of observable evidence variables for time t.
 - \circ *a:b* denotes an interval from *a* to *b*.
- **Stationary Process** process of change that is governed by laws that do not change over time.
- **Markov Assumption** current state depends only on a *finite* history of previous states. Processes satisfying this assumption are *Markov Processes (Chains)*.
 - transition model law describing how state changes over time.

$$P(X_t | X_{0:t-1}) = P(X_t | X_{\alpha}) \text{ where } \alpha \subseteq \{1 \dots t - 1\}$$

- **first-order Markov Process** current state is solely dependent on the previous state
 - transition model: $P(X_t | X_{t-1})$
- We assume the evidence variables at time *t* depend only on the current state.
 - sensor model law describing how the evidence depends on the state.

$$P(E_t | X_{0:t}, E_{0:t-1}) = P(E_t | X_t)$$

- prior probability for the initial state: $P(X_0)$
- complete joint

$$P(X_{0:T}, E_{1:T}) = P(X_0) \prod_{t=1}^{T} P(X_t | X_{t-1}) P(E_t | X_t)$$

- Ways to deal with inaccurate Markov modeling:
 - 1. Increase the order of the Markov process
 - 2. Increase the set of state variables

Filter (monitoring) – the task of computing the *belief state* – the posterior distribution of the current state given all evidence; $P(X_T | e_{1:T})$.

• Recursive estimation – forward chaining.

$$P(X_{t} | e_{1:t}) \propto P(e_{t} | X_{t}) \sum_{X_{t-1}} P(X_{t} | X_{t-1}) \underbrace{P(X_{t-1} | e_{1:t-1})}_{\text{recursive estimate}} f_{1:t} \propto FORWARD(f_{1:t-1}, e_{t})$$

- When the state variables are discrete, this update is constant in space and time.
- Likelihood $P(e_{1:T})$ can be calculated by a likelihood message: $l_{1:T} = P(X_t, e_{1:T})$:

$$L_{1:T} = \sum_{X_T} l_{1:T} \left(X_T, e_{1:T} \right)$$

Prediction – task of computing the posterior distribution over a *future* state, given all evidence; $P(X_{T+k} | e_{1:T})$ where k > 0.

• This is equivalent to filtering without new evidence. Hence, we can easily derive the following update:

$$P(X_{T+k} \mid e_{1:T}) = \sum_{X_{t+k}} P(X_{T+k} \mid X_{T+k-1}) \underbrace{P(X_{T+k-1} \mid e_{1:T})}_{\text{recursive estimate}}$$

- **stationary distribution** The fixed point of the Markov process that is approached upon successive applications of the transition model.
 - **mixing time** the amount of time required to reach stationarity.
 - Prediction is doomed to failure for future times more than a small fraction of the mixing time.

Smoothing (hindsight) – task of computing posterior distribution for a *past* state, given all evidence; $P(X_k | e_{1T})$ where $0 \le k < T$.

• Accounting for hindsight is done with an additional backwards message:

$$P(X_{k} | e_{1:T}) \propto \underbrace{P(X_{k} | e_{1:k})}_{f_{1:k}} \underbrace{P(e_{k+1:T} | X_{k})}_{b_{k+1:T}}$$
$$b_{k+1:T} = \sum_{X_{k+1}} P(e_{k+1} | X_{k+1}) P(X_{k+1} | X_{k}) b_{k+2:T}$$

- The time and space needed for each backward message are constant.
- Thus, the process of smoothing with respect to $e_{1:T}$ is O(t).
- Thus, to smooth the whole sequence naively, requires $O(t^2)$.
- using dynamic programming the cost is only O(t) by recording results of forward filtering over the entire sequence while running the backward algorithm from *T* to 1 and use the smoothed message at each time step \rightarrow forward-backward algo.

o space is now O(|f|t)

- In on-line setting, smoothed estimates must be computed for earlier time slices as new observations are added:
 - **fixed-lag smoothing** smoothing is done for the time slice d steps behind the current time T.

Most Likely Explanation – task of finding the sequence of states most likely to have generated a sequence of observations; $\arg \max_{x_{tr}} P(x_{1:t} | e_{1:t})$.

- most likely sequence must consider joint probabilities over all time steps.
- there is a recursive relationship between most likely paths to each state X_{t+1} and the most likely paths to each state X_t.
- Recursive formulation:

$$\max_{X_{1:t-1}} P(X_{1:t} \mid e_{1:t}) \propto \underbrace{P(e_t \mid X_t)}_{observation} \max_{X_{t-1}} \left[\underbrace{P(X_t \mid X_{t-1})}_{transition} \underbrace{\max_{X_{1:t-2}} P(X_{1:t-1} \mid e_{1:t-1})}_{\text{previous message}} \right]$$

-

o messages: $m_{1:t} = \max_{X_{1:t-1}} P(X_{1:t} | e_{1:t})$

- o summation over X_t replaced by a maximization.
- Pointers are used to retrieve the most-likely explanation
- Viterbi algorithm has a space and time requirement of O(t).

Learning – task of learning the transition and sensor models from observed data. This process leverages inference through EM.

Hidden Markov Models (HMM) – a temporal probabilistic model in which the state of the process is described by a *single discrete* random variable and transitions obey the Markov assumption.

- transition model: $T_{ii} = P(X_t = j | X_{t-1} = i)$
- observation model: $(\mathbf{O}_t)_{i,i} = P(e_t | X_t = i)$
 - o forward message $\mathbf{f}_{1:t+1} \propto \mathbf{O}_{t+1} \mathbf{T}^T \mathbf{f}_{1:t}$
 - o *backward* message $\mathbf{b}_{k+1:t} \propto \mathbf{TO}_{k+1}\mathbf{b}_{k+2:t}$
 - time complexity of forward-backward becomes $O(S^2t)$ where S is the number of hidden states and space complexity is O(St).

Kalman Filters – a temporal probabilistic model for continuous state spaces under the Markov assumption and using linear Gaussian distributions to model the states. A Kalman filter can model any system of continuous state variables with noisy measurements.

- a *multivariate Gaussian* distribution can be specified completely by its mean μ and its covariance matrix Σ .
- In general, filtering with continuous or hybrid spaces generate state distributions whose representations grow without bound, but the Gaussian distribution is "well-behaved" since it has the following properties:
 - 1. If the current distribution $P(\mathbf{X}_t | \mathbf{e}_{1:t})$ is Gaussian and the transition model

 $P(\mathbf{X}_{t+1} | \mathbf{x}_t)$ is linear Gaussian, then the predicted distribution of the next step is:

$$P(\mathbf{X}_{t+1} | \mathbf{e}_{1:t}) = \int_{\mathbf{x}_{t}} P(\mathbf{X}_{t+1} | \mathbf{x}_{t}) P(\mathbf{x}_{t} | \mathbf{e}_{1:t}) d\mathbf{x}_{t}$$

2. If the predicted distribution is Gaussian and the observation (sensor) model is linear Gaussian, then conditioning on new evidence yields the updated distribution:

$$P(\mathbf{X}_{t+1} | \mathbf{e}_{1:t+1}) \propto P(\mathbf{e}_{1:t+1} | \mathbf{X}_{t+1}) P(\mathbf{X}_{t+1} | \mathbf{e}_{1:t})$$

• General formulation:

 $P(\mathbf{x}_{t+1} | \mathbf{x}_t) = N(\mathbf{F}\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_x)(\mathbf{x}_{t+1})$

• **F** and Σ_x describe the linear transition model & noise.

 $P(\mathbf{z}_t | \mathbf{x}_t) = N(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{\Sigma}_z)(\mathbf{z}_t)$

- **H** and Σ_z describe the linear sensor model & noise.
- Updates:

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{t+1} = \mathbf{F}\boldsymbol{\mu}_t + \mathbf{K}_{t+1} \left(\mathbf{z}_{t+1} - \mathbf{H}\mathbf{F}\boldsymbol{\mu}_t \right)$$
$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{t+1} = \left(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{K}_{t+1} \right) \left(\mathbf{F}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t \mathbf{F}^T + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_x \right)$$

- Kalman gain $K_{t+1} = \left(\mathbf{F}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{t}\mathbf{F}^{T} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{x}\right)\mathbf{H}^{T}\left(\mathbf{H}\left(\mathbf{F}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{t}\mathbf{F}^{T} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{x}\right)\mathbf{H}^{T} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{z}\right)^{-1}$
 - A measure of "how seriously to take the new observation" relative to the prediction.
- predicted state at t+1 is $\mathbf{F}\boldsymbol{\mu}_t$, predicted observation is $\mathbf{HF}\boldsymbol{\mu}_t$, and error of predicted observation is $(\mathbf{z}_{t+1} \mathbf{HF}\boldsymbol{\mu}_t)$.
- Extended Kalman Filter (EKF) allows for limited nonlinearity in the model by modeling the system *locally* as linear in \mathbf{x}_t in the region of $\mathbf{x}_t = \mathbf{\mu}_t$.